

UNITED STATES : EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	1	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/254.316	03/04/99	OHZEKI	K	946-113PCT	
- 002292		- IM62/1012]	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			HEND	HENDRICKSON, S	
P O BOX 747	F. A. E. VA		ART UN	NIT PAPER NUMBER	
FALLS CHURCH	. AM SZA4A	0.74.7	1754 DATE MAIL	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	254316	Applicant(s)	Oh Zeki	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	ickson	Group Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover she	eet beneath the co	rrespondence address	
Peri df r Reply	2			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAILING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaulting to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state 	reply within the statutory	minimum of thirty (30) o S from the mailing date	days will be considered timely.	
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>		•	
☐ This action is FINAL .				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19			the merits is closed in	
Disp sition of Claims		,		
TS Claim(s)		is/are p	ending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)	_6	is/are w	rithdrawn from consideration.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are a	llowed.	
∑ Claim(s))	is/are re	ejected.	
		is/are o	bjected to.	
☑ Claim(s)		are sub	ject to restriction or election	
Application Papers		/ require	ment.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	ing Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approv	red □ disapproved		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	ected to by the Examir	ner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority t☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies o	_			
 □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numl □ received in this national stage application from the In 		•	•	
*Certified copies not received:	•	` ''		
Attachm nt(s)				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s).	☐ Interview Summ	arv PTO-413	
MNotice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		al Patent Application, PTO-1:		
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review. PTO-9	148	•••		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/254,316

Art Unit: 1754

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the groups are related and additional applications are expensive. This is not found persuasive because the relationship has been considered in the requirement and applicant is a large corporation who would not be burdened by the filing fee. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL. Claims 4-6 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, 'derivatives' is unclear as to what derivatives are meant, and appears to contradict the recitation of the 'basic structure'. Moreover, starch, polysaccharides and cellulose are polymers but the formula recites a monomer. It is not clear exactly what is meant.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/254,316

Art Unit: 1754

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Liu et al.

Liu teaches in column 5 graphite as an electrode in a lithium cell. The claims read upon graphite since 'for ... cell' is merely an intended use and does not actually limit the product. Similarly, 'capable of' and 'absorbs' are merely recitations of inherent properties of graphite and thus do not distinguish from graphite. Note also the teaching of polymer-on-graphite. The examiner takes Official Notice that the polymers of Liu are water-soluble. Example 2 teaches sodium and column 3 indicates the presence of Li in the graphite during use, the claimed amount being expected to occur.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by the abstract of JP 09147916.

The abstract teaches Lithium in cellulose-coated graphite. Note the search report indicates it is relevant to claims 1-3.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by the abstract of JP 9249407.

The abstract teaches Li intercalated in graphite, which is all that is claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (703) 308-2539.

Stuart Hendrickson

examiner Art Unit 1754